Hard_EnglishPassage_3020
Legal Framework and Judicial Reforms

Words: 575, Characters: 4279 **LEVEL: HARD**

The intricate architecture of the Indian judicial system, deeply rooted in the common 85
law traditions of the colonial era, has evolved significantly since the formal adoption 173
of the Constitution on November 26, 1949. As the primary guardian of fundamental 254
rights, the Supreme Court of India has consistently delivered landmark judgments 335
that have shaped the socio-political trajectory of the nation. However, despite its 419
global reputation for jurisprudential excellence and judicial independence, the legal 505
system is currently grappling with a severe, systemic crisis of mounting case 583
pendency. According to recent administrative reports published by the Ministry of 665
Law and Justice, tens of millions of civil and criminal cases are currently pending 749
across various district courts, High Courts, and the apex court. This alarming backlog 836
not only undermines the fundamental principle that "justice delayed is justice denied," 924
but it also imposes a massive financial burden on ordinary litigants who are forced to 1011
navigate a labyrinthine and incredibly slow legal process. To address this monumental 1097
administrative challenge, legal scholars and policymakers have strongly advocated for 1183
the rapid expansion and institutionalization of "Alternative Dispute Resolution" (ADR) 1270
mechanisms. The Arbitration and Conciliation Act, which was comprehensively 1346
amended in the year 2015 to align with international commercial standards, provides 1430
a robust legislative framework for resolving complex corporate disputes outside the 1514
traditional, adversarial courtroom environment. By encouraging out-of-court 1590
settlements through mediation and arbitration, the judiciary aims to significantly 1673
reduce the burden on regular commercial courts, thereby fostering a more 1746
predictable and investor-friendly business environment. Furthermore, the systematic 1830
integration of modern digital technology into the daily functioning of the courts is an 1918
absolute necessity for improving institutional efficiency. The ambitious 1991
implementation of the e-Courts National Project, which is jointly monitored by the 2074
Department of Justice and the Supreme Court, seeks to digitally transform the entire 2159
judicial infrastructure. This includes the complete digitization of decades-old physical 2248
case records, the establishment of virtual courtrooms for conducting online hearings, 2334
and the widespread use of electronic-filing (e-filing) systems. During the 2409
unprecedented disruptions caused by the global pandemic in early 2020, the higher 2491
judiciary demonstrated remarkable institutional resilience by seamlessly transitioning 2578
to video-conferencing platforms, ensuring that the critical delivery of justice was not 2666
completely paralyzed. Nevertheless, the successful modernization of the legal sector 2751
requires much more than just upgrading computer hardware and software 2821

© 2026 TypingGuru App
Download From Playstore

applications. It necessitates a fundamental shift in the prevailing legal culture, 2904
demanding strict adherence to procedural timelines, the severe penalization of 2983
frivolous litigation, and the filling of thousands of long-vacant judicial posts at the 3071
district and subordinate levels. Additionally, there is a growing consensus on the need 3159
to establish specialized tribunals to handle highly technical subjects, such as 3239
environmental degradation, intellectual property rights, and complex corporate 3318
insolvency proceedings. These specialized appellate bodies, staffed by domain experts 3404
rather than just generalist judges, can significantly accelerate the adjudication 3486
process while ensuring a higher quality of legal jurisprudence. Ultimately, securing a 3573
fair, transparent, and highly efficient judicial system is not merely a matter of 3655
administrative convenience; it is a vital prerequisite for sustaining democratic 3736
governance, protecting the civil liberties of vulnerable populations, and maintaining 3822
the unwavering trust of the citizens in the rule of law. Therefore, continuous 3901
capacity-building programs for judicial officers and court staff must be prioritized to 3989
keep them fully updated with the rapidly changing dynamics of cyber law, 4062
international trade agreements, and forensic sciences. The future of Indian 4138
jurisprudence relies heavily on balancing this technological modernization with the 4222
timeless, core principles of equity and natural justice. 4279